

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/774,127 | 02/06/2004 | James M. Brugger | 53951-119 | 8396 | |
| 21890 PROSKAUER | 7590 01/26/2007 ROSE LLP | | EXAMINER | | |
| PATENT DEP. | ARTMENT | | KIM, S | KIM, SUN U | |
| 1585 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 7.2 // 7.01, | | | 1723 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | • | : | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/26/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| 10/774,127 | BRUGGER ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | | |

| zororo aro i milg or arr ippom ziror | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | John Kim | 1723 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | RALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig rethan three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | is of the date of e appeal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below); | ∍cause |
| (c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beto (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) |): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 4, 6-7, 9, 21. | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate of the affidat | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ied. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | ice because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. | 4 | Sur Kan John Kim | |
| | | Dalas and Europeines | |

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1723

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New recitations of "at least one of the inlet and outlet caps' in amended claim 4, "at least one of the inlet and outlet headspaces" and "a dilution inlet...and terminating at, the blood outlet headsapce" in amended claim 21 and "both the outlet port for blood and the infusion port being connected to the housing such that they both terminate at said headspace" in new claim 22 raise new issues.